

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Q.O. no 4900 - 1-10-67 21 Nov 1884

Ch 118-04, 1, 7, 8 + 13(A)"

Ch 71-07 1-83

J. 2. 206 1902

Ch 199-09 1-48

~~Later references.~~

Ch 226-07 1-4 B

Ch 231-06 1-6 B

Ch 274-05 1-6 B

~~Later references.~~

Ch 270-12 (1-2)

File No. 41 12

Serial Nos. 1-4

1912.

(Courts)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4.

Part B for Feb. 13

SUBJECT.

Additional Establishment to the Judicial Magistrate
Magistrate's Court.

1) From the Comptroller, no 768 / B & R 73 of 31.7.12

Forwarded duly verified a proposition statement - together with a letter from the Chief Court requesting the sanction to the strengthening of the establishment of the Judicial Magistrate's Court

2) To the Registrar, Chief Court, no 2772 of 10-9-12

Calls for certain information

3) From the Registrar, Chief Court, no 165/83 of 24-9-12

Furnishes the information called for

4) To the Registrar & Comptroller no 2394-5 of 15-11-12

Sanctions the Chief Court proposal

Endeavour

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

Cts File No. 41-12

FILE HEADING.

Additional establishment to the
Chitaldrug Munsiff's Court.

From

The Comptroller to Govt.

No.

768
POTR 73

Dated

31.7.12

Received

2.8.12

Diary No.

340

Enclos.

6

Spare Copies.

Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwarded duly Verified a proposition Statement
together with the Chief Court's letter, requesting
sanction for strengthening the clerical establishment
of the Chitaldrug Munsiff's Courts by two hands one
in Rs 20 and another in Rs 17½ and for raising
the pay of the head mushie by Rs 5/-.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Reg R.

Subd. In G.O. N 1381-82 Cts 226-07-4 dated
the 13th November 1908, Govt declined to
sanction any additional establishment
to the Munsiff's Court, Chitaldrug,
as no ~~case~~ the necessity for the

Serial
No.

Flag S.

the same had not been made out. The question has been considered in detail on its merits in Courts File N^o 231-06 Sts 1-6. The increase now asked for is the same as that proposed in 1907. except for the omission of a clerk on Rs 15/-. The following table is intended to show how the case now stands as compared with the ~~Circumstances~~ ~~under the~~ facts which were before Govt while passing the above order.

Add. Establishment proposed	Suits & Cited: (Average for three years from 1904-05)			
	original	Small Causes	Misc.	Executive
Past - 1 clerk on Rs 20	933	534	1065	1859
— " 17½				
— " 15				
Increase to the pay of Head Clerk in 5			*	
Present. Do Do	1077	741	424	1841
except the Clerk on Rs 15/-	(Average for 3 years from 1908-09)			

N.B. * The decrease in the number of miscellaneous cases is explained to be due to the introduction of the new rules specifying the nature of the

Ct

File No.

41

of 1912-13

Serial
No.

Reg Q

Cases to be brought under this Category (vide Cu. Letter No 220 of 28.9.1911.) The average total income ^{of the Court} also shows an increase from Rs 17224 to Rs 19860. The point for consideration is whether the differences noted above are so appreciable as to justify the sanctioning of the establishment now asked for. Branch II will be consulted if it is decided to view the case favourably.

2 Subs for orders.

HCS-122. JMM

6/8/12

11/8/12

3 In my humble opinion the increase now asked for is necessary if the increase in work is to be satisfactorily met. There has been an increase of over three hundred in the average suits instituted in the Court.

4 The pay of the Ad. Munshi may also be increased to Rs 35/-. The increase in the institution will

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial
No.

perhaps Even justifying the raising of his
pay ~~from Rs 400-~~ the rate obtaining
in the Forest "First Class" Courts viz
Pl himself's Courts in Bangalore and
Mysore, Srirangapatnam & Shimoga
But the Ch. Ct. have only recommended
Rs 357—

12/8/12 Jd

Secy 5 Please put up a statement
showing ~~comparing~~ his work
(no. of suits etc.) & the present establishments
& his children's Courts as
compared with those of his ^{neighbouring} Courts in
the district

Ck

6

27/9

Draft calling for the st
above Statistics from the 3/9/12
Chief Court is submitted for
approval.

Sms
4/9/12

Hes on

6/9/12

Ch (38)

6/9/12

Issued
Jd

6/9/12

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

C/o File No. 441

of 1912

Serial
No.

2

To the Registrar, Chief Court No. 2772 of 10-9-12
Calls for a report of the work for about 5 years
done by the Chitaldang muniff's court & its
present establishments as compared with those of
other muniff's courts.

3

From the Registrar, Chief Court

N^o 1165 of 24.9.12.
83

Furnishes the information
called for.

8 Subs for orders.

Dec 122 *[Signature]*
28/9/12
20

9 The figures furnished

confirm the opinion of the Ch. Ct. The
total number of Suits instituted in the
Chitaldang Court during the five years
ending 1911-12 was 73 & 3 that is, even
more than the no number for the
Corresponding same period in the Saingpa-
patam Court and very much more
than the number in the Courts of
the Principal Muniff of Sone, Add'l
Muniff, Sone, Add'l Muniff B'lore
and only a little less than that of the
Chik Ballapur Court. But whereas

910

Serial
No.

all the above Courts have a total
~~Class~~ clerical strength of 10 to 11 hands
Chitaldrug has only 9 hands that is
the same as that sanctioned for light
Courts like Sagar

10 A very good Case seems
to have been made out for an increase

2/10/12 *SB*

Secy

11 1st Coun. Secy

WB
27/11

12 Get the opinion of ^{*SB*} the
H. Dept. - *HB*

28/11/12

H. his 580

G. J.
29/11

13

SB for favour of opinion

SB

29/11/12

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 41

of 1912-13

Serial
No.

Pl. Mis. 580

additional munshi on Rs 20
do clerk - Rs 17 1/2

M
9-1-12
Amh

Submitted. The permanent recurring charge involved in the event of acceding to the proposal to grant the additional Establishment sought for the Chitāl dargā Munshi Court would be Rs 42-0-0 per mensem or Rs 510 per annum.

Kind attention is invited to para 4 of the Comptroller's letter no 768 of 31.7.1912 in which he observes that the proposal of the chief court suggesting a reappropriation from the lumpsum grant of Rs 2000/- granted for temporary Process serving Establishment within a period of a fortnight after the commencement of the financial year is very significant.

36/11/12 The Unheard Establishment proposed seems justified of the facts but it is not clear how that half of the year is already over & these increases should not come into effect from the beginning of the next year.

17
6.11.12

No final. objn. under the circumstances explained.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial
No.

18

Submitted with a draft order
sanctioning the Chief Court's
recommendation.Dec 12 (WU)
17-12-12

19

As there is no financial
obj'n draft may be appld

19/12/12

Secy

20

1st Ann. Ck.(This appears to be a
schedule matter - for clause
x of the schedule ~~is not a~~
as it relates to a material revision
of establishment)

22

22/12/12

23

23/12/12

The proposal may be
sanctioned as a draft.

Dewan

27/12/12

Submitted to His Highness.

under
proposal.

22 It is reported by the Chief Court that work in the Chitaldurg Munsiff's Court is steadily increasing and that the present establishment of the Court cannot cope with it. The average number of suits filed in this Court

<u>Name of Court.</u>	<u>No. of hands.</u>	<u>Average No. of suits filed annually.</u>	during recent years is more than the number
Munsiff's Court, Chitaldurg	8	1469	filed in certain Courts
-do- , Shimoga	9	587	classed as "first
-do- (Additional) Bangalore	10	1056	class", while the esta-
-do- (Principal) Mysore	11	842	blishment allowed for
-do- -do- Bangalore	13	1739	


it is only that of a Court of the last class. The Chief Court therefore recommend the strengthening of the existing establishment of the Chitaldurg Munsiff's Court by the addition of two hands one on Rs.20 and the other on Rs. 17-8-0 and the raising of the pay of the Head Munshi from Rs.30 to Rs.35, which is the pay allowed to the Head Munshi of a second class Court.

23 2. The recommendation of the Chief Court which is concurred in by the Councillors and by the Financial Department may be sanctioned.

M. V. V. V. V. V.

18 Feb 1913.

Pages 7 & 8 of
office notes



Record of Cases submitted for the Orders
of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Additional establishment to the
Chitaldrug Munsiff's Court and the
raising of the pay of its Head Munshi.

623

Order.

24 Sanctioned

KRW

10/2/13

✓
25 Sanctioned

11.2.13 do

B III

23
12/2 2000 12/2

26 Issue the draft
approved by the Dewan

1000
13/2/13
2000
7/13.

well
7/9/13

5/3/13

Serial
No.

4.

To the Registrar, Chief Bmt.

The Comptroller, through Bmt

No J 2394-5 & 15-2-1913

Saichais the two additional hands
required for the Munsiff's Court at Bhitals
and Saichais also the increase of the pay of
the Head Munsiff of that Court to Rs 35/- per
month.

Submitted to the Councillors
for perusal.

K.G. S.N.

24/2

1st & 2nd (us)


S.P.R.

24/2

2/3

Seen by the Councillors.

It is requested that in future communications on the subject, the No. and date of this letter, and the distinguishing letters, below the number as given opposite, may be quoted and addressed to the Comptroller, Mysore Government.



No. 768

B.R. 73

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT

Dated Bangalore, the 31 July 1912.

FROM

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, Esq., M.A.,

F.R.A.S., etc.,

Comptroller to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

to

K.R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,

Offg Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Department

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith letter No. 166 dated 15th July 1912 addressed to you by the Registrar of the Chief Court and also the Proposition and Reappropriation statements received therewith and to state that the Proposition statement has been duly verified by this office.

2. The proposition is to raise the pay of the Head Munshi by Rs. 5/- and to entertain an additional Munshi and an additional clerk on Rs. 20 and Rs. 17½ per mensem respectively for the Munsiff's Court at Chitaldrug. The financial result of the proposition, if sanctioned, will be an addition of Rs. 42½ per mensem or Rs. 510 per annum to the permanent recurring expenditure of the State.

3. No reason is assigned for the increase of Rs. 5/- proposed in the pay of the Head Munshi except that it has to be raised to the scale of the late Chitaldrug Munsiff's Court. Financially, this cannot be a proper ground for an alteration of scale.

4. Should the proposition take effect from the current official year (1912-13), the Chief Court propose a reappropriation of the necessary funds from the lump sum grant of Rs. 2000/- granted for temporary Process serving establishment. The reason assigned for anticipating savings in the grant for this establishment within a period

Rec.—Gl. 17]

.....Department.

19/2

FROM

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, Esq., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., etc.,
Comptroller to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....Esq.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Spent & New Dept.

Dated

Despatched *1st Aug 12*

Received

No. *76.8*
23 *h/yr*

Subject matter.

*Following letter to 166
of 15th July 1912 + Proportion
Statement - it is proposed
for 1/2 - Rs 100000
Cost*

(2)

of a fortnight after the commencement of the financial year
is significant.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Beharwal

Comptroller.

ack

③

No: 166 Cases: 6
11

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, dated 15th July 1912.

15th

Incl to do 41-12-1

From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore,,
General and Revenue Departments.

(Through the Comptroller, Mysore Government):

S i r,

R.

Adverting to the correspondence ending with Government Proceedings No. J. 1381-82/Cts. 226-07-4 dated the 13th November 1908 in the matter of strengthening the clerical establishment of the Chitaldurg Munsiff's Court, I am directed to state that the District Judge of Shimoga has again brought to the notice of this court the difficulty experienced by the present establishment in getting through the work of the court which has considerably increased. The present establishment which consists of 8 hands was transferred from Honnali in January 1905 when the Munsiff's Court there was abolished and the Chitaldurg Munsiff's court re-established. The file of the Honnali Munsiff's Court was about 600 cases a year and the establishment given to it was of the lowest scale able to cope with only a light file. But

since

since the reestablishment of the present Court at Chitaldurg the file has steadily increased and is equal to that of any other First or Second Class Munsiff's Court. Cases instituted (Original and Small Causes) during the past five years were 1212, 1252, 1464, 1343 and 1563. The increase which has been steady was largely contributed by the newly added Davangere Taluk and Harihar Sub Taluk. The file of the late Honnali Munsiff's Court was only about 650 on an average and that of the old Munsiff's Court at Chitaldurg about 700. With the increase in the number of suits filed there has been a corresponding increase in the number of execution and miscellaneous applications and this means an increase in the monetary transactions of the Court, the decrees to be prepared, processes to be issued and the records to be filed and transmitted to the Central Record. The disposals have likewise increased.

The accompanying statements marked I and II prepared by the Munsiff at the instance of this Court show the distribution of work among the clerks and the figures relating to the institutions and disposals of cases. The District Judge after ~~the~~ ^{three} inspections of the court is satisfied that in spite of the fact that the clerks work out of office hours they are not able to cope with the enormous quantity of work they are called upon to turn out. The Munsiff has been employing some process ser-

servers to assist the regular staff, but this is highly objectionable and detrimental to the discipline and ~~the~~ efficiency of the office. The District Judge urges that, if immediate relief is not given to the present staff, the work of the court will fall into arrears and result in the introduction of confusion in the accounts which will ultimately open a door to fraud and embezzlement.

The Chief Court are of opinion that two additional hands one on Rs. 20/- and the other on Rs. 17½/- are absolutely necessary to cope with the increased work of the Munsiff's Court.

The pay of the Head Munshi has also to be raised to Rs. 35/- — the scale of the late Chitaldurg Munsiff's Court. A ^{si-}proposition statement is enclosed, and I am to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to the same at a very early date. The extra cost is only Rs. 510/- per annum or Rs. 42-8-0 per mensem which may be met this year by reappropriation from the lump sum provision of Rs. 2,000/- made in the Budget for Temporary Process Serving Establishment. A reappropriation statement is also forwarded.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



R'e g i s t r a r.

File 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

1102 Two Office Name of Office to which the

Government Orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
		No.	Designation	Pay			Average Cost	No.	Designation	Pay		
				Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum				Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
No.	Date											
	14 th December 1904	1	Head Munshi	30		30	30	1	Head Munshi			35
		1	2 nd Munshi			25	25	1	2 nd Munshi			25
		1	3 rd Munshi			20	20	1	3 rd Munshi			20
		1	Court Officer			20	20	1	Court Officer			20
								1	Munshi			20
		3	Gumastahs on Rs 15/- each			45	45	1	Additional Clerk			17 1/2
		1	Apprentice Clerk			10	10	3	Gumastahs on Rs 15/- each			45
		1	Gollar			6	6	1	Apprentice Clerk			10
								1	Gollar			6
		2	servants			18	18	3	servants			18
		12	Total			180	180	15	Total			222 1/2
						174	174	14				216 1/2

Chief Court of Mysore

Bangalore, 15th July 1912

"Present Scale checked and found correct"

H. M. A. V. S.
Assistant Comptroller

17/12

Note - To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and should be

FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

Proposition relates... *Mumsiff's Court, Chitaldroog*

Serial - 66 of 11

GPB-4,000-26-11

GPB-4,000 -2-6-11												
Average Cost	Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comp- troller's Report	Orders of Government			
	Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department		
	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month	Decrease per month						Remarks	Remarks	Orders
				Amount	Period	Amount						
35.5	5						vide letter to Govt. No. 166. 11 of this date.					
25												
20												
20												
20	20											
17 1/2	17-8-0											
5												
0												
0												
8												
42 1/2	42-8-0											
Registrar												

allowed by a reappropriation statement if the proposed

Registered
Registrar

12
797
G. R. S. 26]

(10)
Ct File No. 41-12.

Serial No. 2.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 772

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
, the 191, .

To

The Registrar, Chief
Court of Mysore,

Date of issue 10-9-12

Signed by Mr S. Hiriyannaiya

Sir,

Adverting to your
letter N^o 166 dated the
15th July 1912, ^{regarding} ~~in~~ ~~the~~
~~the~~ ~~enhancement~~ ~~of~~
the Clerical establish-
ment of the Chitaldang
Munsiff's Courts, I am
directed to request that
the Govt may be furnished

over.

SUBJECT.

with a statement showing
the work (no. of suits &c.) for about 5 years
and the present establish-
ment of the Chitaldang
Munsiff's Court as compared
with those of other Munsiff's
Courts in the State.
I have, &c.

For Secy,

CB (58)
1/9/12

122
6/9/12

List of enclosures.

Copied by Baf
9/9
Examined by

No: $\frac{1165}{83}$ mess.

(12)

B a n g a l o r e ,

24th September 1912.

26th:

From

DIARY No. 9.10.

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore.

10/2-10/3
SECTION <i>bonis</i>
FILE No. <i>41-12</i>
SERIAL No. <i>3</i>

To

The Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Departments.

S i r ,

Adverting to your letter No. J.772/Cts.41-12-2 dated 10th instant, I am directed to forward statements showing the work (number of suits filed) for the past five years and the present establishment of the Chitaldrug Munsiff's Court as compared with those of other Munsiff's Courts in the State.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

A. V. Registrar

A.V.

R e g i s t r a r .

Comparative Statement Showing the number
 in the Late Annuals Court, Late
 present number Court at Chikaldurg for the

Years	Original Suits		Small Causes		Total of Original & Small Causes		Miscellaneous Cases		Total
	For disposal	disposed of	For disposal	disposed of	For disposal	disposed of	For disposal	disposed of	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<u>Late Annuals Court</u>									
1901-02	675	534	322	270	997	804	447	410	99
1902-03	573	482	262	227	835	709	456	413	89
1903-04	521	441	261	215	782	656	626	584	103
<u>Late Chikaldurg number Court</u>									
1899-900	547	448	361	308	908	756	332	304	79
1900-1901	611	438	322	259	933	697	382	361	78
1901-1902	756	579	444	351	1200	930	496	464	92
<u>Late Sub Judge's Court Chikaldurg</u>									
1902-03	680	525	678	632	1358	1157	533	477	105
1903-04	259	190	1052	828	1311	1018	628	564	139
<u>Present Court</u>									
1908-09	1085	836	731	588	1816	1424	798	740	1716
1909-10	987	751	748	651	1735	1402	247	204	182
1910-11	1151	871	745	604	1896	1475	236	168	198
1911-12 up to	-	-	-	-	1688	1514	230	188	166

Sole
 Annuals Court
 Chikaldurg
 Dated 22/6/12

of Cases for disposal are the number disposed of
 of Court, Late Subordinate Judge Court and the
 of three years.

Settled at 12-1

Number of processes issued	Number of processes issued	Remarks.
11	12	13
894	6944	
793	5704	
900	6161	
705	5922	
706	6070	
847	6676	
842	7334	
1135	7569	
1425	7900	
1556	8216	X The balance is apparent due to the introduction of the new Flag B.
1556	8674	
1284	9859	

Wanda W. W. W.
 Wanda W. W.

List showing the distribution of work and

Serial No	Designation	Particulars of work entrusted
1	Head. Munsif	(1) Receiving plaints, petitions + process Registers A, XIV, XV + XVI + Coriding rolls, monthly pay bills (6) Checking rec
2	Deputy Munsif	(1) Record Registers - (2) Register XVII - (3) (5) Kanarese Form + 20 Registers - (6) Dr (8) Checking and despatching the rec
3	III Munsif	(1) Registers I and I-a (2) writing up of (4) Sending unpounded documents
4	Dary -	(1) Registers, X, XI, XII + XIII - (2) Rec Preparation of monthly and annual
5	Clerk	(1) Bench work - (2) Sorting of pending re Quarterly + annual accounts - (4) A & B
6	App. Clerk	(1) Indexing, phuristing, + stitching original processes in cases of attachment + arre to original branch - (4) Cause lists of or (6) Sending copies of documents in which def
7	Clerk	(1) Execution Court work - (2) Checking + listing results in registers I + I-a + entering satisfaction of that branch - (7) Sale certificates.
8	Clerk	(1) Indexing, phuristing + stitching - (2) Preparing processes of sales of immovables + immovables - (5)

Subd 22612

N.B. Two powers reserved are now his.

of the officials of the Ministry of Justice, including

to them.

mos. - (2) Checking and posting plaints - (3) Maintaining
Register - (4) English Correspondence - (5) Preparing pension
for money + Process & bills etc. (7) General Supervision
and control
of Register of Institution fees - (4) Statutory Registers -
of Depots + parcels - (7) Writing Succession Certificates
to the Central Record.

decrees (3) Maintaining original + all suits disposed of
by two Deputy Commissioners.

the orders + cheque books - (3) Petty Ledger books - (4)
and cash balance reports.

not + processes according to date of hearing - (3) Monthly
reports - (5) Statistical Registers of original + small cause suits
Small cause + original suits cases disposed of - (2) Writing

before judgment - (3), Xan area correspondence relating
original + all suits - (5) Noting adjournments in suits registers -
beneath have been placed to the Dy Commr - (7) by: Jan + 20

+ his applications - (3) Registers III + VI - (4) Noting Executions
Registers - (5) Sorting of records + processes - (6) Xan: Correspondence

of costs in execution + his cases - (3) Cause lists - (4) Writing
statistical registers - (6) A. Diaries

of execution and general processes. I should like to know
more

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

()

, the

191 .

Date of issue 15-2-13

Signed by Mr S.P. Rajagopalachari

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

READ—

Letter N^o 768 dated
20-2-13

the 31st July 1912 from the
Comptroller to Govt.

forwarding duly verified

~~ORDER THEREON.~~ No.

the proposition and re-
appropriation statements
together with Chief Court
Registrar's letter N^o 166
dated the 15th July 1912

over.

SUBJECT.

37

13/2/13

dt

40111

compd N 4728

478-12-432

20-2-13

File No. 41-12.

Serial No. 4.

15

requesting sanction to
the strengthening of the
establishment of the
Chitalong Munsiff Court
by the addition of two
hands one on Rs 20/- and
the other on Rs 17½ and
also to the raising of
the pay of the head
Munsiff of the ~~the~~
Court from Rs 30 to Rs.

35 per mensem.

(2) Letter N^o 1165 of 24.9.12 from the
Registrar, Chief Court, furnishing certain
Statistics

Order thereon N:- J 2394-5/2541-12-4

215/2/13 Encl 2

It is reported that the
work of the Munsiff Court at Chitalong,
has been steadily increasing
and ~~because~~ that the present
establishment cannot
cope ~~with~~ ~~with~~ ~~it~~.

Cope ~~with~~ with it. Under
these circumstances, Govt
are pleased to sanction ^{the} two
the additional ~~of~~ hands

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

asked for, viz one Munshi
on Rs 20 and one Clerk
on Rs 17 1/2 /-. Sanction
is, also, accorded to
the increase of the pay
of the Head Munshi
of the said Court to
Rs 35/- per month.

(2) The extra cost
amounting to Rs 42 1/2 /-
per mensem, should
be met during the
current ^{year} by ^{an} appropriation
from
the lump sum provision
of Rs 2000/- made in
the Budget for temporary
process sewing establish-
ment. ~~Due~~ provision
Shibe
being made in future
budgets.

26.1.13.

[Signature]

Draft

Dec 122
17-12-12 *[Signature]*
17/12/12

200
13/2 *[Signature]*

200/16.11

[Signature] offg Secy to Govt
To The Registrar, Chhapra
a Compt to Govt. *[Signature]*
(with a proposition for *[Signature]*
ation Stat). *[Signature]*